APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,315	02/23/2004	Ofer Tenenbaum	EFIM1209	9668

31408   7590   04/08/2008
LAW OFFICE OF JAMES TROSINO
92 NATOMA STREET, SUITE 211
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

EXAMINER
THOMAS, ASHISH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2625	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
04/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 February 2004</u>.

2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b) ☒ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.

  4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 February 2004</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:

  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
  Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/10/08, 10/19/07, 8/3/05, 4/20/05, 9/13/04, 6/1/04</u>.

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
  Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
>
> (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1.   Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Young(U.S. 6,898,624).

Regarding claim 1, Young teaches a method for providing printing services,

comprising: assigning a telephone number to a printer(**Column 3, lines 21-25 and

column 3, lines 35-42 teach a method that assigns a phone number to a printer**);

associating a print job with said telephone number(**Column 3, lines 45-55 discloses

that a fax transmission data is mapped to a telephone number. This fax

transmission data reads on the print job stated in the claim language.**); forwarding

said print job from a print job source to a spooling server over a network(**Column 3,

lines 5-10 and figure 1 teach that a fax data is transmitted to a service provider

110 that is connected to a printer 114 via a network 112.**); associating the print job

with the printer at the spooling server based on said telephone number(**Column 3, lines

45-55 and column 3, lines 58-65 teach that a print job or fax data is associated

with a printer**); forwarding the print job from the spooling server to the printer; and

printing said print job at said printer. (**Column 4, lines 45-64 teaches the output step itself.**)

Regarding claim 2, Young teaches a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein: said print job source comprises a facsimile machine(**Figure 1 illustrates a facsimile 104 that is sent from a fax machine**); and the telephone number is associated with the print .job by entering the telephone number at the facsimile machine. (**Column 3, lines 10-15 discusses a predetermined phone number. The existence of a predetermined phone number implies the concept of entering a phone number at the facsimile machine.**)

Regarding claim 3, Young teaches a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein the print job source is one of a computer, a personal digital assistant device, a multifunction print device, an Internet appliance, a scanner, or a telephone. (**Column 3, lines 7-10 teaches that the source of the original fax or print job could be a personal computer.**)

Regarding claim 4, Young teaches a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein the network comprises: at least one of a local area network, a wide area network, a global network, and the Internet. (**Column 2, lines 60-67 teaches an internet 112.**)

Regarding claim 5, Young teaches a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein: said print job source comprises a facsimile machine(**Column 3, lines 5-10 teaches that a fax 104 originates from a fax machine**); said print job comprises a facsimile(**fax 104 mentioned in column 3, lines 5-10**); and said network comprises the Internet(**Internet 112 mentioned in column 2, lines 60-67**).

Regarding claim 6, Young teaches a method in accordance with claim 5, further comprising: receiving the facsimile from the facsimile machine at a facsimile server via the network(**Service provider 110, illustrated in figure 1, reads on the facsimile server.**); assigning the telephone number to the printer at the facsimile server(**Mapping table 118, illustrated in figure 1, assigns a print job with a phone number to a specific printer.**); and forwarding the facsimile to the spooling server from the facsimile server. (**Embedded web server 116 that spools a print job to a specific printer reads on the spooling server.**)

Regarding claim 7, Young teaches a method in accordance with claim 6, wherein: said facsimile server forwards the facsimile to the spooling server using one of Simple Mail Transport Protocol, Simple Object Access Protocol, Extensible Markup Language, Hypertext Transfer Protocol, or Hypertext Transfer Protocol Secure. (**HTTP is taught in column 3, lines 1-5.**)

Regarding claim 8, Young teaches a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein the printer is connected to the network via a dedicated Internet connection. (**Figure 1 teaches internet 112. This, in turn, inherently teaches that the printer 114 is connected to the network via an internet connection.**)

Regarding claim 9, Young teaches a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein: said print job is stored at said spooling server based on said telephone number(**Column 3, lines 40-55 teaches that a job is stored based on the correspondence between the job and phone number.**); said telephone number is dynamically assigned to the printer at a user interface associated with said printer; and

said printer requests said print job from said spooling server based on the assigned

telephone number. **(Mapping table 118, illustrated in figure 1, assigns a print job**

with a phone number to a specific printer, and the outputting of data is carried

out in accordance with this assignment.)

Regarding claim 10, it is rejected in the same manner as claim 1. Note that claim

10 describes a system that corresponds to the method divulged in claim 1.

Regarding claim 11, it is rejected in the same manner as claim 2. Note that claim

11 describes a system that corresponds to the method divulged in claim 2.

Regarding claim 12, it is rejected in the same manner as claim 3. Note that claim

12 describes a system that corresponds to the method divulged in claim 3.

Regarding claim 13, it is rejected in the same manner as claim 4. Note that claim

13 describes a system that corresponds to the method divulged in claim 4.

Regarding claim 14, it is rejected in the same manner as claim 5. Note that claim

14 describes a system that corresponds to the method divulged in claim 5.

Regarding claim 15, it is rejected in the same manner as claim 6. Note that claim

15 describes a system that corresponds to the method divulged in claim 6.

Regarding claim 16, it is rejected in the same manner as claim 7. Note that claim

16 describes a system that corresponds to the method divulged in claim 7.

Regarding claim 17, it is rejected in the same manner as claim 8. Note that claim

17 describes a system that corresponds to the method divulged in claim 8.

Regarding claim 18, it is rejected in the same manner as claim 9. Note that claim

18 describes a system that corresponds to the method divulged in claim 9.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to ASHISH K. THOMAS whose telephone number is

(571)272-0631. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on 571-272-7437. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ashish K Thomas/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/David K Moore/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625